(3) did the Diwan issue proceedings about the location of the College at Ernakulam on 12th October and were copies of the same sent to the Director, Diwan Peishkar and the Chief Engineer ;

(4) if so, will a copy of the Proceedings be placed on the Table of the House ;

(5) did the Diwan pass any orders after hearing the deputation ;

(6) if so, will a copy of the same be placed on the table ;

(7) when was the sanction of His Highness the Maharaja obtained after the last session of the Legislative Council for expenditure in connection with the extension of the College at Ernakulam ;

(8) were there petitions to His Highness about this ;

(9) if so, what were the replies sent to the petitioners ?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—(1) Yes.

(2) The deputation waited on the Diwan on 14th October 1931 ; the dates fixed for it were 21st September 1931, 7th October 1931 and 14th October 1931.

(3) No ; but a D. P. issued on that date to prepare plans and estimate was sent to the Director of Public Instruction, Chief Engineer and the Diwan Peishkar.

(4) A copy is placed on the table. (*Appendix XII*).

(5) Yes.

(6) A copy is placed on the table. (*Appendix XIII*.) It is also published in the Gazette, dated 14th Thulam 1107.

(7) No expenditure has been incurred till now for the College extension works excepting the fee paid to Mr. Dann, the Town Planning Expert, for the preparation of plans. His Highness' sanction for this expenditure was obtained on 29th September 1931.

(8) Yes.

(9) A copy of the Diwan's Proceedings referred to in the answer to sub-division 6 was sent to them.

Extension—Maharaja's College, Ernakulam.

190. Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) whether they have passed any proceedings sanctioning the extension of the Maharaja's College at Ernakulam ;

(2) if the answer to sub-division (i) is in the affirmative,

(a) whether they will be pleased to place a copy of it on the table ;

(b) whether they will be pleased to state (i) whether they have considered the comparative merits of the Ramavarmapuram

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

and Ernakulam schemes from financial and academical standpoints before or after passing of orders ; and if so, with what result ;

(ii) whether they have made an estimate of the full cost of the Ernakulam College Extension Scheme before they sanctioned it and, if so, what it is ?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(1) Yes.

(2) (a) The Member is referred to the answer to sub-division 6 of question No. 189.

(b) (i) and (ii). All the relevent points connected with this were considered by the Government before passing orders to extend the College at Ernakulam.

Please see Diwan's Proceedings C. 758 of 1106, dated 17th June 1931, C. 758 of 1106, dated 22nd July 1931 and P. W. 4. 758 of 1106, dated 27th October 1931, published in the Gazette dated 6th Mithunam 1106, 9th Karkadagam 1106 and 14th Thulam 1107 respectively.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know whether the Government considered the comparative merits of the Ramavarmapuram and Ernakulam schemes from financial and academical standpoints relevant for the purpose of coming to a decision on the College question?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Certainly.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know whether the Government did consider the comparative merits of the two schemes before they came to a decision?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—They did.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know whether it is the considered view of the Government that Ernakulam is better than Ramavarmapuram?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—As an organisation intended for imparting higher education, Government is of opinion that Ernakulam scheme is better. In other words I beg to submit as a whole the Ernakulam scheme has been decided upon, because the Ernakulam scheme was the better of the two.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—My question was whether from an academical and financial standpoint Ernakulam scheme is better than the Ramavarmapuram scheme?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—I think I answered that. I said taking the whole thing it was so decided upon by the Government.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Whether it was after a consideration of these two material circumstances that the Government came to this conclusion and decided.

Mr. I. N. Menon.—Naturally.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

3

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Did they calculate the exact cost that will have to be met at Ernakulam for the scheme, before they passed the orders?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—We calculated the approximate cost.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know what it is?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—It is just below 10 lakhs.

Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—May I know who prepared that cost statement?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—The cost estimate was made by the Member for works and the others were made by your humble servant with such assistance as he could get from the Member for works and from other people in Engineer's Department. I have made an allowance of ½ a lakh of rupees for any mistake I may have committed. I read that statement here two days ago.

191. Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) whether any deputation of the Ramavarmapuram College Retention Committee waited upon the Diwan and whether they submitted any written memorandum to him ;

(2) if the answer to sub-division (1) is in the affirmative, will they be further pleased to state:—

(a) to place a copy of it on the table ;

(b) to give

(1) the date on which it applied for permission to wait on a deputation ;

(2) the date or dates on which the date of hearing of the deputation was fixed ;

(3) the date on which the deputation was received by the Diwan ;

(4) (1) the date on which the Government passed proceedings to prepare detailed plan and estimates and (2) to begin construction of the extension buildings at Ernakulam ;

(5) the names of persons who waited on deputation on the Diwan ?

Mr. T. S. Sesha Ayyar.—(1) Yes.

(2) (a) A copy is placed on the Council Table.

(b) (1) 4th Chingam 1107/20th August 1931.

(2) 21st September 1931, 7th October 1931 and 14th October 1931.

(3) 14th October 1931.

(4) (1) On 12th October 1931 and 27th October 1931. (2) On 27th October 1931.

(5) (1) Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon, B. A., B. L., retired Diwan Peishkar.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(2) Dr. A. G. Menon.
(3) Dr. A. R. Menon, M. B., Ch. B.
(4) Mr. K. Govinda Menon, M. A. (Oxon).
(5) Mr. K. G. Nayar, B. A., B. L., M. L. C.
(6) Mr. A. Sankara Puduval, B. A., B. L., M. L. C.
(7) Mr. T. S. Balarama Ayyar, B. A.
(8) Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon, B. A., B. L.
(9) Mr. P. K. Ezhuthassan, M. L. C.
(10) Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar, B. A., B. L., M. L. C.
(11) Mr. Panakkal Kunjathu.
(12) Mr. T. D. George.
(13) Mr. P. S. Kesavan Nambudiri, B. A., B. L.
(14) T. N. Vytheswara Ayyar.
(15) Mr. Abraham B. Salem, B. A., B. L.
(16) Mr. M. Balakrishna Menon, B. A., B. L.

College Extension Scheme.

192. Mr. E. Ikkanda Warriar.—Will the Government be pleased to state the following particulars about the College Extension Scheme at Ernakulam:—

(1) the total estimated cost ;

(2) the number of seats proposed to be provided in the various classes and groups in each class ;

(3) the accommodation proposed to be provided in hostels for men students and lady students ;

(4) the floor space that will be provided including the existing building ;

(5) the extent of lands proposed to be acquired ;

(6) the extent of the ground that will be made available for playing fields?

Mr. I. N. Menon.—(1) It is possible to know the exact cost only after the preparation of all the estimates which is in progress.

(2) *I. Intermediate Classes.*

1.	Chemistry	180 x 2
2.	Physics	180 x 2
3.	Natural Science	60 x 2
4.	History & Logic	60 x 2
5.	Mathematics	120 x 2
	II. B. A. Classes.	
1.	Chemistry (main)	32 x 2
	do (subsidiary)	32 x 2
2.	Physics	do
3.	History	40 x 2
4.	Economics	60 x 2

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly